

Improving Road Safety & the Implementation of a Moveable Median Barrier



General Description of the

Newport/Pell Bridge

- Opened in 1969
- 2.14 miles long
- 4 lanes carry traffic over Narragansett Bay
- Aesthetics very important
- Posted Speed of 40 mph







Lane Configuration

- 12 foot lanes
- No median
- No shoulder
- Emergency walkways



Traffic Figures

- Almost 11,000,000 vehicle crossings annually
- 28,000 vehicles per day (mostly daily commuters)
- Summer months have the highest traffic, averaging 35,000 vehicles per day
- 85th Percentile speeds over 60 mph

Traffic Collision History



406 accidents during last 8 years



- 156 accidents on the "Bridge" span itself
- 134 at the toll plaza
- 126 at the Newport Interchange

Types of Collisions

- Rear-end
- Fixed Object
- Head-on
- Sideswipe
- Angle













Most are Rear-end Collisions



Primarily at Toll Plaza & Newport Ramps

The Most Serious are Head-on Collisions



On the Bridge Span itself (where no median existed)

Traffic and Safety Studies

- Route 138/Pell Bridge Road Safety Assessment – February, 2014
- Claiborne Pell Bridge Traffic and Safety Study, April 2014

Route 138/Pell Bridge Road Safety Assessment

Newport/Jamestown, Rhode Island February 2014









HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM STATE OF RHODE ISLAND Department of Transportation Michael P. Lewis, Director Kazem Farhoumand, Chief Engineer

RSA TEAM PARTICIPANTS Rhode Island Turnpike and Bridge Authority Rhode Island State Police Rhode Island Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. CDM Smith



Claiborne Pell Bridge Traffic and Safety Study

Rhode Island Turnpike and Bridge Authority





March 12, 2014



Route 138/Pell Bridge Road Safety Assessment

- Participants RITBA, RISP, RIDOT, FHA, CDM Smith and VHB
- Data review Site characteristics, traffic volumes, speed data and crash data
- Road safety assessment findings and recommendations
- Conclusions

Route 138/Pell Bridge Road Safety Assessment

• To improve safety the following areas needed to be addressed:

>Vehicle crossovers
>Speeding
>Vehicle queuing on the bridge
>Driver overload/sign clutter
>U-turns (potential a result of driver confusion)

Pell Bridge Traffic & Safety Study for a Potential Median Barrier

- Study looked at a number of factors to determine the feasibility of a median barrier
 - Political Concerns
 - Community Concern
 - ≻RITBA Concern

Political Concerns

- Safety
- Aesthetics
 - Most recognized structure in RI
 - Tint barrier
- Construction Schedule
 - Needed barrier installed before summer
 - Limit lane closures
- Local Events Coordination
 - Boat race
 - Marathon



Community Concerns

Safety

- EMS
 - Used to crossing lanes at any point along the bridge
 - Need turn around area for quick response
 - Did not want to unpin segments and push apart
 - Did not want to jump and carry items over barrier

- Residents
 - Safety
 - Speeding
 - Advocated for median barrier to prevent accidents
 - Construction effects and traffic

RITBA CONCERNS



- Worker Safety
- Public Safety
- Repairs
 - Deck patching throughout the bridge
 - Joint deterioration
- Maintenance sweeping, drain cleaning, sealing, pressure washing, inspections
- U-turns

Median Barrier Design Criteria

Median Barrier Options - Risk Assessment Matrix

| | CRTS Moveable Barrier With Moveable Feature | BarrierGuard 800 | Concrete Rigid Median Barrier | No Action (Includes existing pylons) |
|---|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Number of Lanes: | 4 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 4 Lanes |
| Width of Lanes: | 10.5 feet*^ | 10.5 feet* | 10.5 feet* | 11.5 feet |
| Offset of Travel Lane to Barrier:- | Direction 1: 1.0 feet | Direction 1: 1.0 feet | Direction 1: 1.0 feet | n/a |
| | Direction 2: 1.0 feet | Direction 2: 1.0 feet | Direction 2: 1.0 feet | |
| Outside Shoulder Width | 1 foot | 1 foot | 1 foot | 1 foot |
| | Safety | | | |
| Reduction in potential crossover collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Other collision types | • | • | • | 0 |
| | Operations | | | |
| Operational restrictions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency response | • | • | • | 0 |
| | Structural | | | |
| Compatibility with existing structure | 0 | • | • | n/a |
| Ease of installation | 0 | • | • | n/a |
| | Other | | | |
| Aesthetics | - | • | - | 0 |
| Driver Comfort | - | - | - | - |
| | Cost | | | 140 |
| Installation | - | • | • | n/a |
| Maintenance of barrier | 0 | - | 0 | n/a |

| Most Beneficial | 0 |
|------------------|---|
| Beneficial | - |
| Least Beneficial | • |

* A design exception would be required to reduce lanes down to 10.5 feet.
^ Alternative lane configuration is a 10-foot inside land and 11-foot outside lane

CRTS MOVEABLE BARRIER CHOSEN

- Accident mitigation
- No anchorage in deck
- Vehicle response
- Maintenance



Moveable Median Barrier Design

- Self-weight of 500 lbs/ft
 - Do not need to anchor along bridge deck
- Anchorage at either end
 - Capable of reacting to a 100,000 lb tensile force

• TL-4



Lane width changes

Existing lane widths

Proposed lane widths



Variable Length Barrier (VLB)

Expand and contract with bridge movements Allows median barrier to move through barrier transfer machine



Fabrication Challenges

- Varying levels of pigment in concrete mix to achieve color to match bridge superstructure steel paint
 - "Newport Blue"



Fabrication Challenges

Old tinted concrete mix compared to untinted

New tinted concrete mix



• Had to modify mix with various additives

Barrier Compressive Strength

| | Set 1 | Set 2 | Set 3 |
|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Date | 12/17/14 | 12/17/14 | 12/17/14 |
| Air Entrainment | 5.5 | 7.8 | 7.5 |
| Tint | No Tint | Single Dose | Double Dose |
| 1 day break | 1584 | 1530 | 1507 |
| 2 day break | 4720 | 3659 | 2307 |
| 5 day break | 6385 | 4968 | 2901 |

- Single dose
 - 8 lbs per batch
- Double dose
 - 16 lbs per batch

*Acceptable compressive strength is 5000 PSI

Unveiling Segments

New green segments





Completed Median Barrier





Route 138/Pell Bridge Median Barrier Assessment

 Input from first responder agencies was taken in the location of the two barrier openings to facilitate emergency vehicles



Location of Turnarounds

The turnarounds will be 40 feet wide with delineators across the opening to prevent the public from using them. Emergency vehicles can drive over the delineators which are designed to bend.



Goal in Improving Road Safety

Reducing:

- Illegal U-turns
- Crossovers
- Rear-end collisions

Types of Emergency Incidents -Other Than Traffic Accidents

- Disabled vehicles
- Debris in roadway
- Road walkers/Suicidal subjects
- Tourists taking photos
- Oversized vehicles

First Responder Agencies

- RI State Police
- Jamestown Police
- Newport Police
- Jamestown Fire/EMS
- Newport Fire/EMS
- Coast Guard



Team Effort in Emergency Response



Response Prior to Median Barrier

- Emergency vehicles could do U-turns
- Traffic could be easily redirected around incident scene
- Emergency vehicles could travel in oncoming lanes when responding

Prior Lane Closures

Travel lane closure

•Motorists tend to cross center line

Passing lane closure •Need to close both center lanes



Response After Median Barrier

- Traffic tends to be delayed longer during incidents for both directions of travel
- Emergency vehicles have only two locations to do a U-turn or gain access into the oncoming lanes
- Emergency vehicles that travel in oncoming lanes generally have to back up to exit travel lanes
- RITBA maintenance staff is prepared to move/open the median barrier when required

Lane Closures Using New Barrier

Only need to close one lane for center closures



Cars won't be able to cross center line



Improvements to Road Safety

- The median barrier has prevented crossovers
- Upgraded static variable message signs boards and purchased additional portable VMS
- Improved the signage
- Improved access to the bridge from City of Newport (redesigned ramps)
- New safety requirements for workers on the bridge (including reduced work hours, increased traffic control procedures and monitoring)
- Additional cameras on bridge and 24/7 monitoring

Traffic Enforcement

- RI State Police has jurisdiction and has duty personnel patrol the bridge
- Since 2010 RITBA has hired RISP details to augment RISP duty personnel for traffic enforcement/incident response
- Bridge design limits traffic enforcement to moving radar or clocked vehicle speeds. Since the median barrier has been installed, can only do clocked speeds.
- Possible future law change to allow speed cameras. State law currently permits traffic light cameras only
- Promoted new distracted driver legislation

